

Evaluation Summary

Delegates were asked to complete a Forum Evaluation Questionnaire to assist in planning for the next Forum. The Forum was attended by more than 170 people of these 55 responded to the Forum Evaluation Questionnaire.

- Only 1 respondent (of the 53 respondents to this question) disagreed with the statement *'The Forum was well organised'* (Question 1)
- 100% (all 55) of the respondents agreed that the (Forum) registration process was efficient (Question 2)
- Only 1 respondent (of the 54 respondents to this question) didn't consider their accommodation booking to be well managed (Question 3)
- Around 85% of respondents (46 of 54) considered the information provided prior to be Forum to be appropriate (Question 4)
- Around 83% of respondents (44 of 53) considered the content of the material presented was of high quality (Question 5)
- 100% of respondents (54 respondents to this questions) considered the venue facilities and catering were of a high standard (Question 6)
- Session 11 - *'from an idea to a rigorous proposal'* was considered the most relevant to delegates. Sessions 1 (DPM), 6 (targeting strategies), 9 (data) and 10 (partnerships) also scored well (Question 7)
- Sessions 12 - *'where to from here'* - was considered the least relevant to delegates (being scored significantly low) (Question 7)
- Alarming, over 6% of respondents (3 of the 47) did not consider governance or policy influence to be of relevance to their RDA (Question 7 - re: Workshops)
- Around 31% of respondents (12 of 39) did not consider that there was enough time for discussion with other delegates on issues important to RDA Committees (Question 9)

In response to *Comments on the Forum* (Question 10) and *Suggestions for the next Forum* (Question 11) respondents themes were:

- access to speaker presentations;
- more on success stories and failures;
- more interaction with Departmental staff;
- less speakers;
- futurist speakers;
- more breakout, networking and Q/A time;
- no more butchers paper; and
- a practical focus, including re: NSRF, with separate workshops for EOs and Chairs.